TECHNICAL EVALUATION MATRIX (TEM)	
Stage I: Mandatory Requirements (Pre-qualification Criteria)	
Institutional experience in developing and implementing program/project evaluations	
Strong knowledge of the inclusive education support system and methodological and analytical approaches of inclusive education	
Demonstrated human and institutional capacity of managing large scale projects and donor funds	
Proven experience of effective communication and networking with the Ministry of Education and Science, schools and other educational institutions	
A letter of interest	
Proposed work plan (including M&E plan), timeline, working methodology, and an indicative list of references	
A financial proposal in GEL with detailed budget	
CVs/resumes of the proposed team members/experts	
Stage II: Technical Evaluation Criteria	
1	**Previous experience**
1.1	Overall experience
1.2	Proven work experience in developing and implementation of program/project evaluations
1.3	Strong knowkedge of inclusive education support system and methodological and analytical approaches of inclusive education
1.4	Demonstrated understanding of social issues in Georgia, in particular social norms and cultural practices around the child rights, inclusive education, child welcoming environment, better parenting, disability, managing child's challenging behaviors and practices.
2	**Relevant experience of successfully completed projects**
2.1	Proven experience of effective communication and networking with the Ministry of Education and Science, schools and other educaitonal institutions.
2.2	Working experience with other international organizations, in particular UNICEF and UN agencies
2.3	Professional liability insurance
3	**Staff experience and Skills**
3.1	Experience in implementing evaluation programs and delivery of timely and high-quality results
3.2	Strong knowledge of inclusive education support system and methodological and analytical approaches of inclusive education
3.3	Demonstrated Knowledge of the UN's human rights, gender equality and equity agendas
3.4	CVs of Project management and experts
3.5	Demonstrated commitment to delivering timely and high-qualtiy results
4	**Proposed methodology and approach**
4.1	Proposed work plan and approach of implementation of the tasks under the ToR
4.2	Quality control mechanisms
4.3	Relevance and reliability of the presented list of references
5	**Financial stability**
5.1	Readiness to work without advance payment
5.2	Financial report (audit) showing financial stability