## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the	Project:	Good Financial Governance in Georgia (GFG)	Processing number:	23.2128.9 -001.00
commission:	Services put out to	Assessment of public financial management system in 6	Transaction number:	83440892
Assessor:	tender:	Georgian municipalities by applying PEFA indicators		

rsion (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment	Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10
---	------------------------

			Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
(1) Criterion		(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)								
1	1 Assessment of technical-methodological design											
1.1	Technical proposal											
1.1.1	The technical proposal shall explain in details how the company will plan the work and deliver the results listed in the Terms of Reference (ToR) document considering such aspects as relevance, consistency, cost-effectivness and timelins of actions included in the technical	15%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
1.1.2	A work plan, including implementation schedule of number of work days per tasks to be performed by the Contractor for completion of this assignment	15%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim	total 1.1	30%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0

Form 31-8-1-de Page 1 of 3

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)

Assessor:



Officer responsible for the Project: Good Financial Governance in Georgia (GFG) Processing number: 23.2128.9 -001.00 commission: Services put out to Assessment of public financial management system in 6 Transaction number: 83440892 tender: Georgian municipalities by applying PEFA indicators

Version	Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment										Tendere	rs 1 to 5 of 10
			Enter tenderer 1 Enter tenderer 2			tenderer 2	Enter	tenderer 3	Enter tenderer 4		Enter t	tenderer 5
	(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment	(3) Points	(4) Assessment
Total 1		in % <b>30%</b>	(max.10)	(2)x(3) <b>0.0</b>	(max.10)	(2)x(3) <b>0.0</b>	(max.10)	(2)x(3) <b>0.0</b>	(max.10)	(2)x(3) <b>0,0</b>	(max.10)	(2)x(3) <b>0,0</b>
	Assessment of proposed staff	30 70		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Project Manager (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.1.1	- Qualifications	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.3	- General professional experience	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.4	- Specific professional experience	3%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.5	- Leadership/management experience	3%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		14%		0.0		0,0		0.0		0.0		0,0
2.2	Senior Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)			- ,-		-,-		-,-		-,-		
2.2.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2.4	- Specific professional experience	1%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t	otal 2.2	5%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3	Senior Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.3.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.4	- Specific professional experience	1%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t	otal 2.3	5%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4	Senior Expert 3 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.4.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4.4	- Specific professional experience	1%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		5%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.5.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.5.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.6.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Project Assistant (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.7.3	- General professional experience	3%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		3%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 2		40%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
3	Experience of the company / organization											

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the	Project:	Good Financial Governance in Georgia (GFG)	Processing number:	23.2128.9 -001.00
commission:	Services put out to	Assessment of public financial management system in 6	Transaction number:	83440892
Assessor:	tender:	Georgian municipalities by applying PEFA indicators		

Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment

Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10

			Enter tenderer 1 Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5			
(1) Criterion		(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
3.1	The technical proposal shall provide evidence of the company's capabilities and experience in executing projects in the area of public financial management (minimum 5 years experience)	10%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
3.2	Experience/ability of the organization's team to carry out an assessment of public financial management with the application of PEFA indicators	20%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 3		30%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Overall total 1 + 2 +3 100%			0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0	
Assessment in %			0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%	
Ranking												

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith.

Date, name