TECHNICAL EVALUATION MATRIX (TEM)		
	Evaluator	
Stage I: Mandatory Requirements (Pre-qualification Criteria)		
Expertise: At least 7 years of experience in social affairs, social protection, child protection, and/or social programming. Experience in working with vulnerable children and families is essential.		
Municipal Engagement: Proven experience in working with municipalities, including the ability to mobilize and actively engage them in project activities.		
Project Management: Track record of successfully managing complex donor-funded complex projects, involving coordination of multiple stakeholders. Experience in designing concepts, practical tools, manuals, and materials is crucial		
Training and Workshops: Experience in conducting training sessions, workshops, and seminars, specifically involving		
Collaboration with MRDI and MoIDPOTLHSA: Familiarity with working alongside MRDI and MoIDPOTLHSA		
Qualified Personnel: Ability to mobilize a qualified team for project implementation, including professionals in the areas		
Partnership Building: Strong track record in establishing partnerships and networking with relevant stakeholders in the field.		
Stage II: Technical Evaluation Criteria		
1	**Orginizational experience and knoweldge**	
1.1	Relevant experience in the areas of social protection, social programing (preferably involving local municipalities)	no experience
		less than 3 years
		3-5 years
		7 years or more
1.2	Relevant experience in the area local self-government strengthening, capacity building and support	no experience
		less than 3 years
		3-5 years
		7 years or more
1.3	Experience working on issues related to vulnerable children and families	No
		Yes
1.4	Municipal Engagement: Proven experience in working with municipalities, including the ability to mobilize and actively engage them in project activities.	no experience
		less than 3 years
		3-5 years
		7 years or more
1.5	Partnership Building: Strong track record in establishing partnerships and networking with relevant stakeholders in the field.	No
		Yes

1.6	Proven partnership with municipalities, including ability to mobilize municipalities and ensure their active participation;	no partnership with Municipalities
		One time project-based involvement with municipalities in social field
		Regular involvement with some municipalities (preferably in the area of chil/social protection)
		strong long-term partnership with municipalities
1.7	Record of working relation/partnership with MRDI and MoIDPOTLHSA	no record
		record with one agency
		record with both agencies
2	**Quality of the project proposal**	
2.1	Proposed actions and approachs are in line with the TOR; objectives, actions and results are well understood and clearly proposed	Not realistic, poorly developed, major elements are not accounted
		Some elements are missing, and some are under/overestimated, or not realistic
		Minor elements are missing, some elements are under/overestimated
		All elements of the proposal are present, but several are under/overestimated for the planned resource inputs
		proposal is well developed,well structured and realistic;
2.2	project work plan is realistic and well developed	No plan in place
		Plan moderatly in place
		Plan fully adequate and in place
2.3	project monitoring and quality control mechanisms in place	No plan in place
		Plan moderatly in place
		Plan fully adequate and in place
2.4	CVs of field experts (social affaires, local self-governance) with list of their reponsabilties in the frame of the project and their approximate worklad is present	No Relevant CVs
		Relevant CVs only
		Relevant CVs with list of reponsabilties and worklad
2.5	Detailed proposed budget with indication of all activities and the proposed fees;	Budget not presented
		budget moderely presented
		budget presented
2.6	Rreferences to prove the requested experience	References not presented
		Referrences modereltly presented
		References presented