## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the commission:

Services put out to tender:

Code Financial Governance in Georgia

Cost-benefit of investment projects in municipalities

Processing number: 23.2128.9 -001.00

Cost-benefit of investment projects in municipalities

Transaction number: 83441694

Version (Delete as appropriate)	Individual assessment/overall assessment	Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10	
---------------------------------	--	------------------------	--

			Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
(1) Criterion		(2) Weighting	(3) Points	(4) Assessment								
Ontonon		0 0	(max.10)									
1 Assessment of technical-methodological design												
1.1	Technical proposal											
1.1.1	The technical proposal shall explain in details now the company will plan the work and deliver the results listed in the Terms of Reference (ToR) document considering such aspects as relevance, consistency, cost-effectivness and timelins of actions included in the technical proposal	15%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
1.1.2	A work plan, including implementation schedule of number of work days per tasks to be performed by the Contractor for completion of this assignment	15%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 1.1		30%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0

Form 31-8-1-de Page 1 of 3

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the commission:

Assessor:

Project:

Good Financial Governance in Georgia

Cost-benefit of investment projects in municipalities

Transaction number:

23.2128.9 -001.00

Cost-benefit of investment projects in municipalities

tender:

Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment

Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10

Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment  Tenderers 1 to										rs 1 to 5 of 10		
			Enter tenderer 1 Enter tenderer 2 Enter			tenderer 3 Enter tenderer 4			Enter tenderer 5			
(1) Criterion		(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
Total 1		30%	(	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Assessment of proposed staff			-,-		-,-		-,-		-,-		-,-
	Project Manager (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)								I			
2.1.1	- Qualifications	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.2	- General professional experience	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0
2.1.3	- Specific professional experience	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.4	- Leadership/management experience	3%		0.0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0
Interim	· · ·	15%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0
2.2	Senior Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)	1070		0,0		0,0		5,5		0,0		5,0
2.2.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2.2	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0
2.2.3	- Specific professional experience	1%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.2		5%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0
2.3	Senior Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)			-,-		-,-		-,-		, , ,		
2.3.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.2	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.3	- Specific professional experience	1%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		5%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4	Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)			•								
2.4.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4.2	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.5	Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.5.1	- Qualifications	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.5.3	- General professional experience	2%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t	total 2.5	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6	Project Assistant (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.6.1	- General professional experience	4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim t		4%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 2	Total 2			0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
	Experience of the company / organization  The technical proposal shall provide evidence of the company's capabilities and experience in executing similar projects (proposal should demonstrate spesific experience on conducting similar assignments)	30%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 3		30%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the	Project:			oject: Good Financial Governance in Georgia								23.2128.9 -001.00	
commission:	Services put		out to	Cost-benefit of investment projects in municipalities					Transaction number:		83441694		
Assessor:		tender:											
Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment  Tenderers 1 to 5 of 1											rs 1 to 5 of 10		
				Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	
	Criterion	Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	
		in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	
	Overall total 1 + 2 +3	100%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0	
	Assessment in %			0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%	

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith.

Date, name