## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



		Project:		Good Financial Governance in Georgia						Processing number:			
commiss	sion:		Services put	out to	Suppor of El	aboration	of the draft m	unicipal b	udgets 2024	Transactio	on number:		
Assessor:		tender:		in accordance with the programme budget methodology				ethodology					
Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment											Tendere	rs 1 to 5 of 10	
			Enter t	enderer 1 -	Enter tenderer 2-		Enter tender 3 -		Enter tenderer 4 -		Enter tenderer 5 -		
		(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
1	Assessment of te	chnical-methodological design		,		,	( / ( /		, , , ,	,			
1.1	Technical proposal												
1.1.1	plan the work and delive (ToR) document conside	shall explain in details how the company will er the results listed in the Terms of Reference ering such aspects as relevance, consistency, nelins of actions included in the techncial	15%				0.0		0.0				
1.1.2		nplementation schedule of number of work formed by the Contractor for completion of	15%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 1.1		30%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	

Form 31-8-1-de Page 1 of 3

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



Officer responsible for the			Project:		Good Financial Governance in Georgia						Processing number:		
commiss	sion:		Services put	out to	Suppor of Elaboration of the draft municipal budgets 2024						on number:		
Assesso	r:		tender:		in accordance			•	•				
Version (	Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment									Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10			
				Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2-		Enter tender 3 -		Enter tenderer 4 -		Enter tenderer 5 -	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
		Criterion	Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
			in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)
Total 1	Total 1		30%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2	Assessment of pr	oposed staff											
2.1	Project Manager (in ac	cordance with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.1.1	- Qualifications	<u> </u>	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.2	- General professional e	experience	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.3	- Specific professional e	experience	4%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.1.4			3%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t	Interim total 2.1		15%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2	Expert 1 (in accordance	ce with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.2.1	- Qualifications		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.2	- General professional e		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.2.3	- Specific professional e	experience	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t			5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3		ce with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.3.1	- Qualifications		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.2	- General professional e		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.3.3	- Specific professional e	experience	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t	total 2.3		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t	total 2.3				0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.4		ce with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.4.1	- Qualifications		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
2.4.2	- General professional e	experience	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
2.4.3	- Specific professional e	experience	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim total 2.4		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		ce with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.5.1	- Qualifications		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.2	-General professional e	xperience	2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0

## Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)



		Project:		Good Financial Governance in Georgia						Processing number:			
commission:		Services put out to		Suppor of Elaboration of the draft municipal budgets 2024						Transaction number:			
Assesso	r:		tender:		in accordance	e with the	programme I	budget me	ethodology				
Version (Delete as appropriate): Individual assessment/overall assessment											Tendere	rs 1 to 5 of 10	
			Enter tenderer 1 -		Enter tenderer 2-		Enter tender 3 -		Enter tenderer 4 -		Enter tenderer 5 -		
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
		Criterion	Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
			in %	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)	(max.10)	(2)x(3)
2.5.3	- Specific professional e	xperience	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t	Interim total 2.5		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6	Expert 5 (in accordance	e with ToR provisions/criteria)											
2.6.1	- Qualifications		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.2	- General professional e		2%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
2.6.3	- Specific professional e	experience	1%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Interim t	total 2.6		5%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total 2		40%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
3	Experience of the	company / organization											
3.1	capabilities and experie	shall provide evidence of the company's ence in executing similar projects (proposal esific experience on conducting similar	30%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total 3	Total 3		30%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		Overall total 1 + 2 +3	100%		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		Assessment in %			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
		Ranking											

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith.

25.08.2023 Rusudan Abulashvili

Date, name