|  |
| --- |
| 18/04/2024 16:05:59 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | Our organization has a robust track record in conducting value chain analyses, including projects similar in scope to the one outlined in the tender. However, we would like to ask whether the evaluation of the past performance of organizations, will also be considered in the final assessment. Specifically, we would like to know if our organization's overall experience and performance history will be factored into the evaluation process alongside the expertise of individual experts proposed to carry out the tasks. |
|  |  |  |
| **თარიღი**: |  | 18/04/2024 16:13:34 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | The focus for the evaluation is the expertise of individual experts proposed to carry out the tasks, but under the ‘Contractor's backstopping strategy (incl. CVs of the technical and administrative backstopper)’ the past performance of organization can be considered. |
|  |  |  |
| **თარიღი**: |  | 18/04/2024 16:27:01 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | Hello, I hope this message finds you well. We are writing to address an aspect of the Task 1 completion as outlined in the Terms of Reference (ToR). The successful execution of Task 1 necessitates various activities on our part, including field visits to regions and conducting on-site operations. While these specific expenses, such as transportation, per-diem, and accommodation, will be duly accounted for in the budget proposal, we have noted that the ToR does not explicitly outline any pre-payment or alternative payment methods. Consequently, the completion of the first task entails incurring these costs upfront, with reimbursement stipulated upon the successful conclusion of Task 1, as per the terms outlined in the ToR. We are writing to explore the possibility of delineating an additional Task 1 or Deliverable 1, which would facilitate the financing of on-site operations. For instance, in previous engagements on similar projects, we have structured the initial deliverable to encompass activities such as developing the operational plan, preparing questionnaires, or compiling a list of potential beneficiaries for project inclusion. Funding allocated for this initial deliverable has, in some cases, served to offset (at least partially) the costs associated with subsequent deliverables. We kindly request your insight and guidance on the aforementioned matter. Your feedback and suggestions will greatly assist us in aligning our approach with the requirements and expectations of the project framework. Thank you for your attention to this matter, and we look forward to your prompt response. |
|  |  |  |
| **თარიღი**: |  | 18/04/2024 17:00:27 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | an advance payment (20% of total contract value) can be discussed during the contracting process |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **თარიღი**: |  | 19/04/2024 11:42:24 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | 1. Can we submit an alternative plan of implementation? Here, we mean two things: can we change the deadlines for deliverables, and can we increase the overall project timeframe by 1.5 months? 2. Are the days in the budget template given as an example, or are they predetermined, and we can't change them? 3. The number 12 is given as a unit number of the logistics costs written in the budget. Please specify what the number 12 means. |
|  |  |  |
| **თარიღი**: |  | 19/04/2024 11:42:43 |
| **შემსყიდველი**: |  | 1. An alternative plan of implementation with increased timeframe can be submitted and will be assessed if it is justified with the reasoning behind the change. 2. Expert/days in the budget are estimated maximum for this assignment, adjusted numbers can be proposed. 3. 12 is estimated number of transportations for the reimbursement. |