giz Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH Evaluation Scheme for Technical Assessment of Offers											Date			page 1	
Section	n/Division 3900	Short: Degradation Assessment for mid-term Review Date								DD.MM.YYYY					
AV					PN/Activity Code:								20.2275.4-002.00 / 0701		
DV										,					
Assess	sor								VI	N:					
		Weighting in % (2)		Comp	Company 1		Company 2		Company 3		Company 4		Company 5		
					points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	
	Criteria		a)		(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	
	(1)		(a)			(2)x(3)		(2)x(3)		(2)x(3)		(2)x(3)		(2)x(3)	
					(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	
1.	Experience of the Company		13												
1.1	Technical Experience (state relevant sectors)		10			0		0		0		0		0	
	 forest/woody plant inventory/quality assessment 	t (e.g. NFI)													
1.2	Regional Experience (state country/region)		3			0		0		0		0		0	
	 knowledge of Georgia's forestry sector 														
Total			13			0		0		0		0		0	
2.	Appropriateness of suggested concept and work plan		18												
2.1	Concept (technical approach/methodical procedures)		18												
	a) interpretation of objectives		5			0		0		0		0		0	
	b) strategy for the implementation reflecting other alternation	ives	3			0		0		0		0		0	
	c) implementation methods (structured by project		2			0		0		0		0		0	
	d) a work plan in a visual form		8			0		0		0		0		0	
2.2	Conceptual options					0		0		0		0		0	
2.3	Monitoring and evaluation concept		10			0		0		0		0		0	
Total	Taskaisal Daskatannin n		18			0		0		0		0		0	
3.	Technical Backstopping														
3.1	Staff														
3.2	Hardware (technical equipment)														
3.3	Software (programmes, cooperation relations)														
Total	Consideration of least resources														
4.	Consideration of local resources														

													page 2
		Weighting in % (2)		Company 1		Company 2		Company 3		Company 4		Company 5	
				points	assess-								
	Criteria	a)		(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)							
	(1)	"		(0)	(2)x(3)								
5.	Qualification of proposed staff			(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
5.1	Coordinator	21											
5.1.1	General qualification	5											
J. 1. 1	- training	5			0		0		0		0		0
	- professional experience				0		0		0		0		0
5.1.2	Specific qualification	10			O						"		
0.1.2	special field (coordination of forestry-related projects)	10			0		0		0		0		0
1	- management experience				0		Ö		0		Ö		0
	- ability to work in a team				0		Ö		0		0		0
5.1.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country	3			0		Ö		0		Ö		0
5.1.4	Linguistic skills (English and Georgian)	3			0		Ö		0		Ö		Ö
Subtota		21			0		0		0		0		0
5.2	Expert Pool 1 - Field Team Leaders	28											
5.2.1	General qualification	10											
	- training	10			0		0		0		0		0
	- professional experience				0		0		0		0		0
5.2.2	Specific qualification	15											
	- special field (forest inventory)	15			0		0		0		0		0
	- management experience				0		0		0		0		0
	- ability to work in a team				0		0		0		0		0
5.2.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country	3			0		0		0		0		0
5.2.4	Linguistic skills (state language)				0		0		0		0		0
Subtota	-	28			0		0		0		0		0
5.3	Expert Pool 2 - Field Assistants	20											
5.3.1	General qualification	5											
	- training	5											
	- professional experience												
5.3.2	Specific qualification	15											
	- special field (forest or plant inventory)	15											
	- management experience												
	- ability to work in a team												
5.3.2	Regional experience / knowledge of country												
5.3.4	Linguistic skills (state language)												
Subtota 5.5	Composition of the team	20											
Total 5		69											
Grand		100			0		0		0		0		0
place		100			U		U		U		_ ·		<u> </u>
6.	Special advantages / risks (see extra page)					J		1		1		_	
	e					1		1		1		٦	