

Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)

Officer responsible for the commission:		Project:	Good Financial Governance in Georgia	Processing number:	23.2128.9 -001.00
Assessor:		Services put out to tender:	Preparation of cost-benefit analyse (CBA) of investment/capital projects in municipalities (PIM)	Transaction number:	83468822
Version (Delete as appropriate):	Individual assessment/overall assessment				Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10

(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting in %	Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tender 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
		(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
1	Assessment of technical-methodological design										
1.1	Technical proposal										
1.1.1	The technical proposal shall explain in details how the company will plan the work and deliver the results listed in the Terms of Reference (ToR) document considering such aspects as relevance, consistency, cost-effectiveness and timelins of actions included in the technical proposal	25%	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
1.1.2	A work plan, including implementation schedule of number of work days per tasks to be performed by the Contractor for completion of this assignment	15%	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Interim total 1.1		40%	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0

Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)

Officer responsible for the commission:		Project:	Good Financial Governance in Georgia	Processing number:	23.2128.9 -001.00
Assessor:		Services put out to tender:	Preparation of cost-benefit analyse (CBA) of investment/capital projects in municipalities (PIM)	Transaction number:	83468822
Version (Delete as appropriate):	Individual assessment/overall assessment				Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10

(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting in %	Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tender 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
		(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
Total 1	40%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2	Assessment of proposed staff										
2.1	Project Manager (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.1.1	- Qualifications	4%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.2	- General professional experience	4%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.3	- Specific professional experience	4%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.1.4	- Leadership/management experience	3%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.1		15%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2	Senior Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.2.1	- Qualifications	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2.2	- General professional experience	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.2.3	- Specific professional experience	1%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.2		5%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3	Senior Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.3.1	- Qualifications	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.2	- General professional experience	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.3.3	- Specific professional experience	1%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.3		5%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4	Senior Expert 3 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.4.1	- Qualifications	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4.2	- General professional experience	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.4.3	- Specific professional experience	1%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.4		5%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.5	Expert 1 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.5.1	- Qualifications	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6.2	-General professional experience	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.5.3	- Specific professional experience	1%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.5		5%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6	Expert 2 (in accordance with ToR provisions/criteria)										
2.6.1	- Qualifications	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6.2	- General professional experience	2%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
2.6.3	- Specific professional experience	1%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Interim total 2.6		5%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 2		40%	0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
3	Experience of the company / organization										

Grid for the technical assessment of bids below the EU threshold (Local)

Officer responsible for the commission:		Project:	Good Financial Governance in Georgia	Processing number:	23.2128.9 -001.00
Assessor:		Services put out to tender:	Preparation of cost-benefit analyse (CBA) of investment/capital projects in municipalities (PIM)	Transaction number:	83468822
Version (Delete as appropriate):	Individual assessment/overall assessment				Tenderers 1 to 5 of 10

(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting in %	Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tender 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5	
		(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)
3.1 The technical proposal shall provide evidence of the company's capabilities and experience in executing similar projects (proposal should demonstrate specific experience on conducting similar assignments)	20%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Total 3	20%		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0		0,0
Overall total 1 + 2 +3	100%						0,0		0,0		0,0
Assessment in %			0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%		0,00%
Ranking											

I hereby declare that I completed this assessment independently, to the best of my knowledge and in good faith.

10.07.2024 Rusudan Abulashvili

Date, name